

Appendix C – Balfour Road Area – Responses to the TRO Consultation

	Name	Address	Object/Support	Brief Summary	Officers Comments
1	Resident	Balfour Road	Support	With parking difficulties experience daily in this area this scheme would be a benefit to residents	
2	Resident	Balfour Road	Support	This will stop illegal parking on the pavement and vehicles being left for long periods of time.	
3	Resident	Balfour Road	Object	Object to the permits designated to the schools and not to a specific vehicle.	The permits are allocated with "Any vehicle" to allow flexibility to the school for them to use in different vehicles at one time
4	Resident	Balfour Road	Object	Object to this area be added to the already large Zone F and the large number of permits being offered the 3 schools in the area.	The area is within a natural boundary of zone F and was part of the original proposals when Area F was originally consulted. School permits are capped to a maximum of 25 permits per school, these are allocated at 1 permit for every 6 teaching staff
5	Resident	Balfour Road	Support	Support this proposal as it will make parking easier for residents in this area.	
6	Resident	Balfour Road	Support	Support this proposal as residents are unable to park due to displacement of vehicles from nearby Controlled Parking Zones.	
7	Resident	Balfour Road	Support	Support the full scheme, which will enable residents to park within a reasonable distance to their homes and stop dangerous parking around the schools.	

8	Resident	Balfour Road	Support	Fully support this parking scheme as parking has become extremely difficult in this area and will stop dangerous parking.	
9	Resident	Balfour Road	Support	Support this proposal as parking has been a problem since the other parking schemes were introduced.	
10	Resident	Balfour Road	Object	The parking scheme as proposed will add to the problems, and totally ignores the needs of residents. This scheme should be postponed until the issue of the parking at the schools has been considered properly.	
11	Resident	Balfour Road	Object	Object to the proposal as there is no parking problem in the area, but this proposals will generate one, and will make it more difficult and possibly less safer for residents and users of the school.	
12	Resident	Bates Road	Object	Object to the proposed scheme as there is no parking problem in this area	
13	Resident	Bates Road	Object	Object to this proposal as only one permit per household.	Residents are allocated one permit per household. We then allow additional permits depending on the take up and availability of permits.
14	Resident	Bates Road	Object	Object to this proposal due to the limited number of permits for visitors .	Visitors permits are capped at 50 permits per year per person in each household. This is to prevent the area being flooded with vehicles. Visitors are able to park in pay and display bays in the area
15	Resident	Bates Road	Object	Object to this proposal as there should be provision for electric vehicle parking and charging points in the parking proposal. A light touch scheme would be more suitable in this area.	Electric vehicles being considered. Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme
16	Resident	Bates Road	Support	Support this proposal	
17	Resident	Beechwood Close	Object	Object to this proposal as a light touch scheme would prevent the campervans and all day commuters parking in this area.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme

18	Resident	Denton Drive	Object	Object to this proposal as there is plenty of parking spaces in this area.	
19	Resident	Friar Crescent	Object	Object to this proposal as there is very little provision for motorcycle parking. A light touch scheme for parking should be introduced.	At least one motorcycle bay in on each road, if residents wish to apply for additional bays this should be put in writing to parking.infrastructure@brighton-hove.gov.uk where this request can be considered
20	Resident	Friar Crescent	Object	Object to this proposal due to parking restrictions by the schools. A light touch parking scheme would prevent campervans and all day commuter parking in this area.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme
21	Resident	Friar Road	Object	Object to this proposal as light touch and free short stay parking bays would benefit local residents and stop commuter parking.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme
22	Resident	Friar Road	Object	Object to this proposal as all this area needs is a light touch scheme.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme
23	Resident	Herbert Road	Support	Support this proposal as being right next to 2 existing parking schemes has made parking for resident difficult .	
24	Resident	Herbert Road	Support	I support this proposal as this will stop commuter parking in this area.	
25	Resident	Herbert Road	Support	Support the full parking scheme as this will stop dangerous parking and making the roads safer.	
26	Resident	Herbert Road	Support	Support this proposal as parking has been a problem since the other parking schemes were introduced.	
27	Resident	Herbert Road	Support	Support this proposal as parking has been a problem since the other parking schemes were introduced and will stop dangerous parking.	
28	Resident	Herbert Road	Support	Support this proposal as parking has been a problem since the other parking schemes were introduced and will stop dangerous parking.	
29	Resident	Loder Road	Object	This scheme should be changed from a full scheme to a light touch scheme which will stop commuter parking.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme

30	Resident	Loder Road	Object	Object to this proposal due to parking restrictions by the schools. A light touch parking scheme would prevent campervans and all day commuter parking in this area.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme
31	Resident	Loder Road	Object	Object to the proposal due to inadequate number of visitor parking places.	Been considered
32	Resident	Stanmer Villas	Object	Object to this proposal due to parking restrictions by the schools. A light touch parking scheme would prevent campervans and all day commuter parking in this area.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme
33	Resident	Surrenden Holt	Support	Support the proposal of double yellow lines on the corners of Surrenden Holt as this will make entering and exiting much safer.	
34	Resident	Surrenden Road	Object	Object to the proposal as it has not included Surrenden Road and this will make parking considerably worse for those living on the boundary of the scheme.	
35	Resident	Surrenden Road	Object	Object to this proposal as there is no need to change the current system. A light touch scheme would prevent campervans and all day commuter parking in this area.	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme
36	Resident		Object	Object to the hours of restriction	Residents voted (66.5%) in favour for a full scheme. The hours are restriction are consistent with all full controlled parking schemes